

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES ARMSTRONG et al., No C 01-2611 VRW

Plaintiffs,

v

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
and DOES 1-50,

Defendants.

CONNIE L BROWN, No C 04-1536 VRW

Plaintiffs,

ORDER

v

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
and DOES 1-50,

Defendants.

1           On May 18, 2009, the court ordered plaintiff Connie L  
2 Brown to show cause why defendant CCSF's motion for attorneys' fees  
3 should not be granted. Brown was warned that if she failed to  
4 respond to the order, the court would grant the motion. Doc #139  
5 at 3. Brown did not respond to the order, and the deadline for  
6 doing so has passed.

7           An award of attorneys' fees is appropriate in settlement  
8 enforcement actions. See TNT Marketing, Inc v Simon, 796 F2d 276,  
9 278-79 (9th Cir 1986). Accordingly, the court GRANTS Doc #136 at  
10 7, CCSF's motion for attorneys' fees in the amount of \$1,360.  
11 Unless the parties otherwise agree, Brown shall pay CCSF \$1,360  
12 within thirty days from the date of this order.

13  
14           IT IS SO ORDERED.

15  
16 

17           VAUGHN R WALKER  
18           United States District Chief Judge